

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,496	04/25/2001	Mark Ashby	9267		
75	590 05/04/2006		EXAMINER		
THOMAS MILLER, ESQ. MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN			CHOI, STEPHEN		
•	ACKER DRIVE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
6300 SEARS TOWER			3724		
CHICAGO, IL	60606-6402		DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

60

			e		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Command	09/843,496	ASHBY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen Choi	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex			merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 28-32 and 34-39 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29 and 30 is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) 34-39 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 28,31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers			•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• •	R 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	tage		
AM					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (/PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-1	152)		

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sachtleben (US 2,101,458) in view of Nelson (US 2,076,436).

Sachtleben discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a base (e.g., 5) defining a first opening (e.g., 9) having at least two flat side portions (e.g., at 9) and a flap including a first cutting edge (e.g., 21, 23). Sachtleben fails to disclose a linear guide edge. Instead, Sachtleben discloses pins to guide the workpiece. Nelson teaches a linear guide edge (e.g., 17, 18) for aligning the workpiece. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a linear guide edge as taught by Nelson on the device of Sachtleben in order to provide a linear guiding surface to facilitate alignment of the workpiece.

3. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sachtleben in view of Nelson as applied to claim 28 above, and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (hereafter AAPA).

The modified device of Sachtleben discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a molded plastic material. AAPA teaches that the use of molded plastic material is old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 3724

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the base and flap on the modified device of Sachtleben from a molded plastic material as taught by AAPA for the purpose of easy and inexpensive manufacturing of parts. It is noted that the common knowledge or well-known in the art statement of the previous office action has been taken to be admitted prior art because applicant either failed to traverse the examiner's assertion of official notice or that the traverse was inadequate. See MPEP § 2144.03.

Election/Restrictions

4. Claim 34 is allowed. Claims 35-36, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, are hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 34-39 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28 and 31-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/843,496

Art Unit: 3724

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

1 May 2006

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINES